Annex 1 Of DT\_ECO-03/2008

# STUDY FOR THE COPYING AND GRAPHIC PAPER CRITERIA REVISION



# WORK PACKAGE 1 PRELIMINARY REPORT

VERSION 1 July 2008



# "Revision of EU Ecolabel criteria for the copying and graphic paper product group"

Main Contributors [Life Cycle Engineering (LCE), Torino, Italy – www.studiolce.it] Marco Montani Emanuela Esposito

### Internally (LCE) Approved by

Gian Luca Baldo (Legal Representative)	Date
Gia here globs	1 July 2008

### **APAT Approval by**

Stefania Minestrini, [stefania.minestrini@apat.it] Gianluca Cesarei, [gianluca.cesarei@apat.it]



Produced for the European Commission by APAT with the technical support of Life Cycle Engineering.

Owner, Editor: European Commission, DG Environment-G2, B-1049, Bruxelles.

Disclaimer: The European Commission accepts no responsibility or liability whatsoever with regard to the information presented in this document.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION AND COMMENTS, PLEASE WRITE TO:

ECOLABEL.COPYINGPAPER@STUDIOLCE.IT





# SUMMARY

1.	INTRODUCTION	5
	Project Framework	6
	Chemical pulp	12
	Semi-chemical pulp	12
	Mechanical pulp	13
	Other pulp	13
	Deinked pulp	13
2.	THE EU PAPER MARKET	14
I	PAPER INDUSTRY	15
	World Paper Industry Overview	15
	European Paper Industry	16
	European Production	17
	Main European Total Paper Producers	17
	European imports	18
	European exports	20
	Economic aspects of the European paper industry	21
	RAW MATERIALS USED IN PAPERMAKING	22
	Recovered Paper	23
I	PULP INDUSTRY	24
	World Pulp Industry Overview	24
	EUROPEAN PULP INDUSTRY	24
	Main European Pulp Producers	25
	PULP GRADES	26
	Chemical pulp	27
	Semi-chemical pulp	29
	Mechanical pulp	30
(	GRAPHIC AND COPYING PAPER	30
	Uncoated mechanical	31
	Uncoated wood-free	32
	Coated papers	32
ę	SUMMARY OF THE MARKET ANALYSIS FOR THE PAPER PRODUCT GROUP	33
I	MARKET INFORMATION ABOUT ECOLABELLED MANUFACTURES	34
I	BENCHMARKING WITH OTHER EUROPEAN NATIONAL LABELS	36
3.	EU NORMATIVE ANALYSIS: REGULATORY IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE PAPER SECTOR	
(	CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES NORMATIVE UPDATE	38
-	Test methods references update	39
4.	TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF EXISTING CRITERIA	
		40
I	VIAIN ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS LINKED TO THE PAPER PRODUCTION	42







	THE CURRENT ECOLABEL CRITERIA	43
	THE IPPC DIRECTIVE	43
	BEST AVAILABLE TECHNIQUES (BAT) ANALYSIS	44
	Emissions to air and water	44
	Energy Use	46
	Notes on the technical analysis	47
	SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT	
	CARBON FOOTPRINT FOR THE PAPER MANUFACTURE	51
	COMMENTS AND PROPOSALS ON EXISTING CRITERIA OVERVIEW COMING FROM THE STAKEHOLDERS	52
	Definition of the product group (Commission decision, Article 2)	52
	Criterion 1. – Emission to air and water	52
	Criterion 2. – Energy use	55
	Criterion 3. – Fibres - Certified Forest Management	56
	Criterion 4. – Hazardous chemical substances	56
	Criterion 5. – Waste management	58
	Criterion 6. – Fitness for use	58
	Criterion 7. – Information on the packaging	59
	Criterion 8. – Information appearing on the eco-label	60
	NEW CRITERIA PROPOSAL	60
5.	APPENDIX	61
	CONTACTS	61
	References	62



APAT, the Italian Agency for Environmental Protection and Technical Services, the technical support for the Italian C.B., has been entrusted by the European Commission for the development of the project entitled "**EU Ecolabel Criteria Revision for Copying and Graphic Paper**". APAT, subsequently, appointed Life Cycle Engineering (LCE) to act as technical support during the project development.

The overall aim of the project is to assess the need for updating/developing new criteria for the Copying and Graphic paper product group (PG).

The project is composed by <u>2 Work Packages (WPs).</u>

Engineering

**WP1** focuses on the development of a Preliminary Report for the revision of the existing Copying and Graphic paper criteria.

Based on the WP1 results, the **WP2** would be implemented if a revision of the existing criteria is needed.

The **Work Package 1 Preliminary Report** constitutes an informative platform for the whole project. This Preliminary Report aims at:

- Updating some basic market data, to highlight the share of the products belonging to this PG in the European market and the feasibility of Ecolabelled papers.
- Defining the available technologies and production methods, to assess if the existing criteria have been overcome by technological improvements, and if some new requirements need to be tightened.
- Analysing the existing EU and some specific national legislations as well as BAT documents influencing the Copying and Graphic paper sector, to assess if new mandatory requirements have been introduced, and if the criteria are, at least, as strict as the current legislation is.

**WP2**: revision of the existing criteria for the award of the Ecolabel flower for the copying and graphic paper product group.



# **Project Framework**

A general framework of the whole project is schematically presented in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1 - The project framework.

Then, Table 1.1 highlights the main actions grouped in the Work Package 1, as well as the corresponding deadlines and responsibilities.





TASK	ACTION	WHO	DEADLINE	Deliverables	Status
	Kick-off meeting	LCE/APAT	29/01/2008	Minutes	OK
	Mailing list stakeholders	LCE	15/02/2008	Mailing list	OK
	Work Plan	LCE	15/02/2008	Work Plan	OK
	Preliminary Questionnaire delivery	LCE/APAT	20/02/2008	Preliminary Questionnaire	ОК
	Preliminary Questionnaire feedbacks	CBs + Stakeholders	21/03/2008	-	ОК
Task 1	Preliminary Results Task 1 (legislation analysis; BAT; market analysis; Environmental impacts analysis (LCA); Results interpretation)	LCE/APAT	21/03/2008	Preliminary Report - 1° draft	OK
	Intermediate Results Task 1 (First results + Analysis of the potential benefits, benchmarking whit other products and labels)	LCE/APAT	21/04/2008	Preliminary Report -2° draft	OK
	Final Results Task 1	LCE/APAT	30/06/2008	Preliminary Report – Final draft	ОК
	Comments from EC	CE	07/07/2008	-	ОК
	Preliminary Report Distribution and AHWG constitution	APAT	08/07/2008	Preliminary Report (Final draft) + invitation meeting agenda	ОК
Task 2	First AHWG meeting	LCE/APAT	09/09/2008 (Rome)	<ul> <li>Preliminary Report (Final draft)</li> <li>Presentation (ppt)</li> </ul>	next
	Minutes of the 1 <sup>st</sup> AHWG	LCE	22/09/2008	Minutes of the 1 <sup>st</sup> AHWG	to be done
	Management of the AHWG comments	LCE	26/09/2008	-	to be done
	Hypothesis of extension of the validity without review. Possible extension to new paper products.		24/10 /2008	Report - Feasibility Study	to be done
Task 3	LCA analysis to define the revision and improvement of the criteria for paper copy and graphics.		24/10 /2008		to be done
	Revision and updating of the preliminary report with comments arising from 1 <sup>st</sup> AHWG		07/01/2009	-	to be done
	Documents for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> EUEB meeting		07/01/2009	Preliminary Report – Final Version	to be done

# Table 1.1 - Work Package 1 actions and timetable



# PAPER PRODUCTION PROCESS



Figure 1.2 – Paper production process (Source: CEPI<sup>1</sup>)

As can be seen in Figure 1.2, there are two main fibrous raw materials used in papermaking: the wood pulp (chemical and mechanical) and the recovered paper. In addition, a quantity of additives (mainly natural mineral fillers) and dyestuffs are used together with traces of auxiliary chemicals. Another important raw material is water, which is used in large quantities during the papermaking process, but then recovered and reused, or returned to the watercourse from which it is extracted after cleaning processes.

Wood pulp normally arrives at the paper mill in the form of very thick sheets, while recovered paper usually arrives in the form of large, compressed bales. Both these materials have to be broken down so that the individual fibres they contain are completely separated from each other. This process is performed in large vessels known as pulpers where the raw materials are diluted with up to 100 times their weight of water and then subjected to violent mechanical action using steel rotor blades. The resulting slurry (known as papermaking stock) is then passed to holding tanks. During this preliminary stage, auxiliary chemicals and additives may be added. The auxiliary chemicals are usually combined with the fibrous raw materials at levels from below 1% to 2% and can be sizing agents, which reduce ink and water penetration, and process anti-foaming agents. Common additives consist of clay, chalk or titanium dioxide that are added to modify the optical properties of the paper and board or as a fibre substitute. The stock is then pumped through various types of mechanical cleaning equipment to the paper machine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Confederation of European Paper Industries - www.paperonline.org





On the paper machine, yet further water is added to produce a fibre suspension of as little as 1 to 10 parts fibre to 1000 parts water and the resulting mixture is passed into a head-box which squirts it through a thin, horizontal slit across the full machine width (typically 2 - 6 m) on to a moving, endless wire mesh.

The water is then removed on this wire section by a mixture of gravity and suction in a process known as sheet formation where the fibres start to spread and consolidate into a thin mat, which is almost recognisable as a layer of paper on top of the wire mesh.

This web of wet paper is lifted from the wire mesh and squeezed between a series of presses where its water content is lowered to about 50%. It passes around a series of cast-iron cylinders, heated to temperatures in excess of 100°C, where drying takes place. Here the water content is lowered to between 5% and 8%, its final level. Throughout its passage from the wire mesh to the drying operation, the paper web is supported on various types of endless fabric belts moving at the same speed. After drying, some papers may also undergo surface treatments e.g. sizing and calendering. The latter process consists of smoothing the surface of the paper by passing it between a series of rotating, polished, metal rollers. It is then wound into a reel.

The reels from the paper machine are passed into a separate area where they are subjected to further operations. These may be either simple processes where the reel is slit into a number of more narrow reels or cut into sheets. In some cases, more complicated processes may be performed such as coating (often consisting of the application of clay-based materials for special printing finishes) or more calendaring may be performed. The final reels or sheets are normally wrapped and despatched to other companies which carry out converting and printing operations.

The production process does not vary so much for different types of paper: the main difference concerns the coating processes, most of all in the case of the so called "coated paper". The use of different raw materials in input, instead, involves the employment of several kinds of additives and chemicals for the pulp preparation in the pre-treatment phases.

The following figure (Figure 1.3) shows a generic flowchart of the manufacturing process for the different kinds of paper.







Figure 1.3 – A flowchart that summarises the paper production process.

PAGE	10	OF	62

# COPYING AND GRAPHIC PAPER - CLASSES DEFINITIONS

For the aim of the study, the CEPI - Confederation of European Paper Industries – proposal for graphic paper definition is adopted, as the following scheme shows (Table 1.2).





	Paper mainly used for printing newspapers.
	It is made largely from mechanical pulp and/or recovered paper, with or without a small
NEWSPRINT	amount of filler. Weights usually range from 40 to 52g/m <sup>2</sup> but can be as high as 65g/m <sup>2</sup> .
	Newsprint is machine finished or slightly calendered, white or slightly coloured and is
	used in reels for letterpress, offset or flexo-printing.
	Paper suitable for printing or other graphic purposes where less than 90% of the fibre
UNCOATED	furnish consists of chemical pulp fibres <sup>2</sup> . This grade is also known as groundwood or
MECHANICAL	wood-containing paper and magazine paper, such as heavily filled super-calendered
	paper for consumer magazines printed by the rotogravure and offset methods.
	Paper suitable for printing or other graphic purposes, where at least 90% of the fibre
	furnish consists of chemical pulp fibres. Uncoated woodfree paper can be made from a
UNCOATED	variety of furnishes, with variable levels of mineral filler and a range of finishing processes
	such as sizing, calendering, machine-glazing and watermarking. This grade includes
WOODFREE	most office papers, such as business forms, copier, computer, stationery and book
	papers. Pigmented and size press "coated " papers (coating less than 5g per side) are
	covered by this heading.
	All paper suitable for printing or other graphic purposes and coated on one or both sides
	with minerals such as china clay (kaolin), calcium carbonate, etc. Coating may be by a
COATED FAFERS	variety of methods, both on-machine and off-machine, and may be supplemented by
	super-calendering.

The criteria for the "copying and graphic paper" do not consider explicitly the "newsprint paper". The development of the Ecolabel criteria for the newsprint paper product group is currently in progress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For more details see the following paragraph ("Pulp- Definitions").





# **PULPS - DEFINITIONS**

Following a scheme explaining pulp grades definition by CEPI (Figure 1.4, Figure 1.5, Figure 1.6. Figure 1.7, Figure 1.8)

### **Chemical pulp**



Figure 1.4 – Chemical pulp grades (Source: CEPI, 2006)

### SULPHITE

Pulp produced by cooking wood chips in a pressure vessel in the presence of bisulphite liquor. End-uses range from newsprint, printing and writing papers, tissue and sanitary papers. Sulphite can be either bleached or unbleached.

### SULPHATE

Pulp produced by cooking wood chips in pressure vessels in the presence of a sodium hydroxide (soda) liquor. The pulp may be unbleached or bleached. End-uses are widespread, with bleached pulp particularly used for graphic papers, tissue and carton boards. Unbleached pulp is commonly used in liner for corrugated board, wrappings, sack and bag papers, envelopes and other unbleached speciality papers.

### **Semi-chemical pulp**



Figure 1.5 - Semi-chemical pulp grades (Source: CEPI, 2006)

### SEMI-CHEMICAL

Pulp produced in a two-stage process, which involves partial digestion with chemicals, followed by mechanical treatment in a disc refiner. This pulp is mainly used in the production of fluting medium for corrugated board.

### CHEMI-THERMO MECHANICAL

Pulp produced in a similar way to TMP<sup>3</sup>, but the wood particles are chemically treated before entering the refiner. This pulp has properties suited to tissue manufacture. Some CTMP<sup>4</sup> is used in printing and writing grades. CTMP is classified under semi-chemical pulps in the Harmonised System of the Customs Co-operation Council. In the FAO, as well as in other industry statistics, such chemi-thermo mechanical pulps are grouped with mechanical pulp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TMP: thermo mechanical pulp (for the definition of TMP, please see the following paragraph).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CTMP: chemi-thermo mechanical pulp.





# **Mechanical pulp**



Figure 1.6 - Mechanical pulp grades (Source: CEPI, 2006)

#### STONE GROUNDWOOD

Pulp produced by grinding wood into relatively short fibres. This pulp is used mainly in newsprint and wood containing papers, like LWC (lightweight coated) and SC papers.

#### **THERMO-MECHANICAL**

Pulp produced in a thermo-mechanical process where wood particles are softened by steam before entering a pressurised refiner. TMP has mainly the same end-uses as stone groundwood. Variants of the above two processes produce pressurised stone groundwood pulp and refiner mechanical pulp.

### **Other pulp**

Pulp produced from fibres other than wood, such as sugar cane bagasse, wheat straw, kenaf, cotton rags and hemp.

### **Deinked pulp**

Pulp made from recovered paper from which inks and other contaminants have been removed.

### **CLASSIFICATION OF PAPER MILLS**

The paper mills classification depends on the papermaking processes which take place in the plant, as suggested by the BREF document:

- Integrated paper mills
   Plants in which the pulp and the paper are both produced in the same productive site.
- Not-integrated paper mills
   Plants that produce just the paper and that get the pulp from external supplier.



# 2. The EU Paper Market

This section highlights the main features of the European paper market to assess the up to date situation and to confirm/evaluate the Ecolabel feasibility according to the EC Regulation 1980/2000. The analysis takes into consideration all the paper grades (and pulp grades) used for the manufacturing of paper products<sup>5</sup>:

- Newsprint and magazine paper;
- Printing and writing paper (i.e. office papers);
- Sanitary and household (i.e. tissue and other hygienic papers, etc);
- Paper based packaging materials and products (i.e. case materials, wrappings, etc);
- Other specialised paper (i.e. cigarette papers and filter papers);

Referring to the EU Member States data, it is analyzed the EU market relevance also compared with global trends<sup>6</sup>.

In addiction, the analysis displays the results obtained for the Copying and Graphic Paper product group, defined in the Article 2 of the Commission Decision 2002/741/EC, as:

"sheets or reels of unprinted paper which are used for printing or copying or writing or drawing; Newsprint, thermally sensitive paper and carbonless paper are not included in the product group".

CEPI - Confederation of European Paper Industries – is the main reference to define the European paper market. Through its member the associations represents most of the European paper and pulp industry<sup>7</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> www.paperonline.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Main sources: UN/ECE "Forest Products Statistics" 2006 and CEPI "Statistics 2006- European pulp and paper industry".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Members of CEPI (2006): Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, The Netherlands, United Kingdom.





# **PAPER INDUSTRY**

# WORLD PAPER INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

The last three years period represented a context of economic growth: around 3% for the European Union (EU25 +3,3% in the 2006 after +2,1% in 2005).

Asia is however confirmed as the main engine of the sector economy in the 2006, returning to a growth of 8,6% after 8,2% in 2005. The most relevant results have been obtained by China (+10.7%) and India (+9.1%).

The United States confirmed a development similar to that achieved in 2005 (+3.3%).

In Latin America, GDP growth is back in all major economies, in the recording complex acceleration compared to 2005 (+5%) thanks mainly to the strengthening of activity in Brazil (+2.3% in 2005 to 2.9% in 2006) and Mexico (from 3% to over 4%). Very high even the expected growth for Argentina (+8.5%, after 9.2% in 2005).<sup>8</sup>

Concerning the World paper industry, the following figures reported the main paper producers. The percentages in Figure 2.1 refer to the total World paper production in 2005 (367 million tonnes) and show the main producers: Asia, North America and Europe.



Figure 2.1 – World paper production by country in 2005. (Source: CEPI, 2006)

### <sup>8</sup> Source: Assocarta, 2006

PAGE 15 OF 62



# **EUROPEAN PAPER INDUSTRY**

Taking into account the period between 2004 and 2006, the Table 2.1 shows the market features of the European paper industry. The data are expressed per 1000 tonnes (air-dried weight) of total paper products. In the last column of the table, the percentage of change in the last years has been measured.

Table 2.1 – Europe	ean total pape	r production,	consumption	and external tr	ade.
	(Sour	ce: CEPI, 20	06)		
				Var %	

1000 t	2004	2005	2006	Var. % 2005-2006
Production	98.637	98.946	102.231	+3,3
Consumption	85.584	86.902	89.131	+2,6
Imports	4.399	4.907	4.412	-10,1
Exports	17.079	16.540	17.666	+6,8

The European paper production, consumption and exports show an increasing trend. Just the imports decreased of about 10% between 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2.2 highlights the trends of the major economic markers from 2004 to 2006. The production values are quite constant reaching 100 million tonnes of paper in 2006. The exports also had a little increasing during the considered period: they are 18 million tonnes in 2006 and imports are 4,5 million tonnes in the same year.



Figure 2.2 – European paper production, consumption and external trade trends 2004-2006 (Source: CEPI, 2006)



# **European Production**

Engineering

As emerged from the previous paragraph, total paper production marked a slight increasing trend up to 2006. A deep analysis has been made about paper production: the data expressed in 1000 tonnes (air-dried weight) and they concerned the period from 1991 to 2006.

The conducted analysis shows that "Total packaging" and "Other graphic paper" (i.e.: Graphic paper excluding Newsprint) get the main share (Figure 2.3).

The "Other Graphic paper" excludes the Newsprint thus referring to the paper products under consideration in this study, as defined by the Ecolabel criteria ("*papers used for printing or copying or writing or drawing and excluded newsprint*" - (*Art.2 Commission Decision 2002/741/EC*)".



Figure 2.3 – Paper production by grade (Source: CEPI, 2006)

### Main European Total Paper Producers

Germany (21%) is the largest paper producer, followed by Finland (14%), Sweden (12%), France and Italy (10%) (Figure 2.4).





Figure 2.4 – Main European paper producers in 2006 (Source: CEPI, 2006)

# **European imports**

The analysis of European paper imports has considered the main paper suppliers from 2002 to 2006 (referring to Asia, North America, Latin America, Other European countries and the Rest of the world).

The figure 2.5 highlights that the main suppliers are both the so called "other European countries"<sup>9</sup> and North America. Besides the European countries (27%), North America represents the major paper producer area in the world (after Asia), with share of 28% in 2005 (CEPI Statistics, 2006): it supplied the European market in 2006 with 1,5 million tonnes of paper.

In 2005-2006 the total European imports came down (10%), also from North America (17%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> European countries not members of CEPI in 2006 (see note 7).





Figure 2.5 – Main European paper suppliers (Source: CEPI, 2006)

The data referring to import values are expressed in million USD.

The trend shows an increasing since 2004 until about 50 billions USD in the 2006 (Figure 2.6).



Figure 2.6 - European Paper imports value: 2002-2006 (source: UN/ECE, 2006)



### **European exports**

The main markets of European paper products are the other European countries<sup>10</sup> The Figure 2.7 shows that the main market extra Europe is Asia, with 4,6 million tonnes in 2006, constantly followed by North America.



Figure 2.7 - Main European paper markets (Source: CEPI, 2006)

The economic value of exports reached 55 billion USD in 2006. The trend since 2002 to 2006 is reported in Figure 2.8.



Figure 2.8- European paper exports value: 2002-2006 (Source: UN/ECE, 2006)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> European countries not members of CEPI in 2006.



### Economic aspects of the European paper industry

Figure 2.9 shows a brief summary of some economic features of paper industry in the last three years and in 1991.

	1991	2004	2005	2006	Var. % 1991- 2006
Companies	1.023	839	824	801	-21.7
Mills	1.555	1.222	1.215	1.186	-23.7
Employment	389.300	269.900	257.100	259.100	-33.4

Table 2.9 – Economic features of paper industry (Source: CEPI, 2006)

Although the number of companies and employment around the European paper industry decreased both of 21.7% and of 33.4% from 1991 to 2006, its turnover has increased since 2004 and it was about 78.5 billion euro in 2006 (Figure 2.10).



Figure 2.10 - Paper industry turnover 1991- 2006 in million Euro (Source: CEPI, 2006)



# RAW MATERIALS USED IN PAPERMAKING

Following the description of the raw materials used in papermaking made in the previous chapter, Figure 2.11 shows the raw materials used in the paper manufacturing and their use share in 2006 is reported<sup>11</sup>:

- **Recovered Paper:** materials made out of waste paper and paperboard.
- **Wood pulp:** dry fibrous material (cellulose) coming from *softwood trees* (such as spruce, pine, fir, larch and hemlock) and *hardwoods* (such as eucalyptus, aspen and birch).
- Non-fibrous materials: materials made of chemical and mineral components.
- *Pulp other than wood:* pulp produced from fibres other than wood, such as sugar cane bagasse, wheat straw, kenaf, cotton rags and hemp.

The figure 2.11 highlights that **wood pulp** and **recovered paper** are the main materials used in papermaking.







# **Recovered Paper**

About recovered paper, the analysis of paper use rate shows that its use is mainly for newsprint and packaging products (case material). For *Other graphic paper*, the recovered paper use has low value (10%) as the Figure 2.12 underlines.



Figure 2.12- Recovered paper use in 2006 (Source: CEPI, 2006)

Figure 2.13 takes into account the main economic markers to define recovered paper market. Referring to the period 2002-2006, the consumption trend has progressively increased, reaching 45 million tonnes in 2006. About external trade, European exports are higher than the imports among years considered, but much lower than the production values.





# **PULP INDUSTRY**

# WORLD PULP INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

In 2005, the world pulp production was of about 189 million tonnes. The Figure 2.14 puts in evidence that North America and Europe represent the main pulp producers with 44% and 23%. Also Asia, with the 22%, has a high share.



Figure 2.14 – World pulp production by country in 2005 (Source: CEPI, 2006)

# EUROPEAN PULP INDUSTRY

Referring to the period 2004-2006, Table 2.2 shows the market features of European pulp market. The data are expressed in 1000 tonnes of pulp used in papermaking.

In the yellow column of the table, the percentage of variation in the period 2005-2006 is reported.

	(Sour	Ce. CEP1, 200	(0)	
1000 t	2004	2005	2006	Var. % 2005/2006
Production	42.602	41.551	43.495	+4,7
Consumption	49.088	48.869	49.884	+2,1
Imports	7.820	7.937	7.557	-4,8
Exports	1826	1904	2.209	+16,0

Table 2.2 – European pulp production,	consumption	and external	trade
(Source: CED	1 2006)		



Figure 2.15 highlights the economic markers trends from 2004 to 2006 that are quite constant in the period. The consumption is higher than other markers and reached 50 million tonnes in 2006.



Figure 2.15 - European pulp trend 2004-2006 (Source: CEPI, 2006)

# Main European Pulp Producers

Finland and Sweden are the main European pulp producers. In Figure 2.16, the percentage of share is reported. These percentages are probably due to their wide forestry areas and so to the abundance of the basic raw material for pulp production: the wood.



Figure 2.16 - Pulp production by countries in 2006 (Source: CEPI, 2006)





Wood pulp for papermaking refers to three grades concerning to the wood pulp processing used (for details see Figures 1.4,1.5,1.6):

- Chemical Pulp
- Semi-chemical Pulp
- Mechanical Pulp

Figure 2.17, concerning trend in the period 1991- 2006, shows the main share of chemical pulp. The European pulp production in 2006 has been based for the 68% on chemical pulp (CEPI, 2006).



Figure 2.17 – 1991-2006, European Pulp production by grade (Source: CEPI, 2006)

Using chemical pulp to produce paper is more expensive (for production costs) than using mechanical pulp, but it results in better strength and brightness properties. Chemical pulp is used to provide the required strength when producing fine papers (for example copy papers, writing papers etc.)<sup>12</sup>.

In addiction, the main use of *chemical pulp* is linked to the need of less raw material (lignin) than the mechanical pulp.

For each pulp grade, the main economic markers have been reported considering the period 1991-2006 in order to define their weight on European pulp market features.

The data source used for the following analysis is UN/ECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe) referred to the EU 25 Member States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> www.paperonline.org- provided by CEPI



# **Chemical pulp**

Referring to 2002-2006, the Figure 2.18 highlights the high constant consumption of chemical pulp (35 million tonnes) and high amount of the imports (they are 15 million tonnes since 2002) in Europe.



Figure 2.18 – Chemical pulp production, consumption and external trade in Europe (Source: UN/ECE, 2006).

Chemical pulp is grouped in Sulphite (bleached and unbleached) and Sulphate-Kraft (bleached and unbleached) (for details see Figure 1.4). Following Figure 2.19 shows chemical pulp production according to this grouping. As shown, chemical pulp sulphate is the most produced and in particular, Sulphate-Kraft bleached (see Figure 2.20). In addiction, the Figure 2.21 highlights the Sulphite grades, reporting the bleached and unbleached percentages.











Figure 2.20 – Sulphate production breakdown by bleached and unbleached share in 2006 (Source: UN/ECE, 2006)







Figure 2.21 - Sulphite production breakdown by bleached and unbleached share in 2006 (Source: UN/ECE, 2006)

# Semi-chemical pulp

Figure 2.22 shows the Semi-chemical pulp market features in the period 2002-2006 in Europe. The production is constant with 1,3 million tonnes, also the consumption is constant except a little decreasing since 2005. In that year the exports of this pulp grade increased, reaching the 500 thousands tonnes.



Figure 2.22 – Semi-chemical pulp production, consumption, external trade in Europe (Source: UN/ECE, 2006)



### **Mechanical pulp**

The Figure 2.23 describes mechanical pulp production, consumption, imports and exports since 2002 to 2006 in Europe. The production and consumption have constant trend since 2002 about 12 million tonnes. The external trade is not meaningful. Probably these facts are due to the great availability of wood in Europe.



Figure 2.23 – Mechanical pulp production, consumption, external trade in Europe (Source: UN/ECE, 2006)

# **GRAPHIC AND COPYING PAPER**

Graphic and Copying paper has been included under CEPI definition of "Graphic Paper" (for descriptions see Table 1.2). This grade comprises the following subdivisions:

- Newsprint (not considered in this study)
- Uncoated mechanical
- Uncoated wood free
- Coated papers

Referring to the Article 2 of the Commission Decision 2002/741/EC, all the subdivisions, with the exclusion of Newsprint paper (for which, specific criteria are currently under development), have to be analysed.

Figure 2.24 shows the percentage of share for Copying and Graphic paper subdivision in 2006: coated papers represent the main product (53%).

|--|



Engineering



Figure 2.24 – Copying and Graphic paper production breakdown by subdivision in Europe (Source: UN/ECE, 2006)

# **Uncoated mechanical**

The figure 2.25 takes in consideration the period between 2002-2006 to analyse the economic trend of this graphic paper grade. The production of uncoated mechanical reached the 7,5 million tonnes in 2006.

Since 2005, the external trade (imports and exports) of this paper products showed an increase. In particular the exports in 2006 amounted to 6,2 million tonnes.



Figure 2.25 – Uncoated mechanical production, consumption, imports, exports in Europe (Source: UN/ECE, 2006)





# Uncoated wood-free

The uncoated wood-free production in Europe is about 11 million tonnes in 2006 (Figure 2.26). All economic markers show a quite constant trend except the exports, that since 2002 have a little increasing and reached the 7,8 million tonnes in 2006.





### **Coated papers**

The Figure 2.27 refers to coated papers that represent the main graphic paper category in Europe. The production values are higher than the other paper products and they have progressively increased.

Almost the whole production has been exported: 18 million tonnes exported of 20 million tonnes of total production in 2006.



Figure 2.27 - Coated papers production, consumption, imports, exports in Europe (source: UN/ECE, 2006)



# **SUMMARY OF THE MARKET ANALYSIS FOR THE PAPER PRODUCT GROUP**

Following Table 2.3 in order to summarize the European paper market pointing out the weight of Copying and Graphic paper group on paper market in 2006.

Table 2.3 – Brief summary of European Paper Industry market in 2006 (elaborated by LCE)

2006 European Paper Industry market					
Turnover: 78.5 billion €					
PAPER (data expressed in 1000 t)					
Total production	102.231				
Copying and Graphic paper	42.000				
Uncoated mechanical	20%				
Uncoated wood free	27%				
Coated papers	53%				
Main producer	GERMANY				
<b>PULP</b> (data expressed in	1000 t)				
Total production	43.495				
Chemical pulp	68%				
Semi-chemical pulp	3%				
Mechanical pulp	29%				
Main producer	FINLAND				
Recovered paper: 48.000 for paper production					



# MARKET INFORMATION ABOUT ECOLABELLED MANUFACTURES

The following figures highlight the weight of the EU flower for copying and graphic paper and the main licensed producers.

Figure 2.28 shows the spread of Ecolabel in Europe updated to June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2008. With reference to copying and graphic paper, 9 European producers were possessing the European Eco-label having totally labelled 53 products.



Figure 2.28 – 2008 European Ecolabel diffusion by product group (Source: European Ecolabel Commission)

Italy reaches 3 Ecolabelled producers for a total of 27 products; Finland labels two manufacturer, with 13 products (Figure 2.29). It has to be noticed that the only non European Company certified, the Indonesian "Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mill", has been accredited by the French Competent Body, as emerges from the comparison between Figure 2.29 and Figure 2.30.





Figure 2.29 - Number of producers by certifying country in 2008 (Source: European Ecolabel Commission)



Figure 2.30 – Number of Products by Ecolabelled Producer in 2008 (Source: European Ecolabel Commission)



# **BENCHMARKING WITH OTHER EUROPEAN NATIONAL LABELS**

The following Table 2.4, elaborated in order to have an overview of other European Labels, approaches with regard to management of criteria for Copying and Graphic paper. It points out different existing criteria at the moment from Nordic Swan, Blauer Engel and DGQA:

- Blauer Engel: is the first environmental label, born in 1978 in Germany. The criteria to obtain the label refer to the life cycle of products, with attention to environmental performance and safety aspects.

- Nordic Swan: is the Nordic environmental label. The Nordic Swan criteria pays particular attention to the amount of hazardous substances in the product and to the total environmental and health impacts.

- DGQA (Direcciò General de Qualitat Ambiental): the Government of Catalonia's Emblem of Guarantee of Environmental Quality concerns the products manufactured or marketed in Catalonia and the services provided in Catalonia. The logo should specify the properties or features of the product or service that satisfy environmental requirements and that are defined in the relevant criteria.

Figure 2.30 shows the number of copying and graphic paper products referred to the other label schemes considered, in 2008. The Blauer Engel is the most diffused scheme, with 170 copying and graphic paper products labelled.



Figure 2.30- Number of products labelled by Blauer Engel, Nordic Swan and DGQA (Elaborated by LCE, 2008)



# Engineering Statio Tragger Assoc

# Table 2.4- Criteria for copying and graphic paper for the main European ecological labels. (Source: elaborated by APAT and LCE)

		EUROPEAN NA	TIONAL LABELS		
	Eco-label <sup>13</sup>	Nordic Swan	Blauer Engel	DGQA	
GRAPHIC PAPER     Image: Constraint of the second sec		NUMBER OF THE STREET			
1. Emission to water and air	a) COD : Pcod < 1.5 S : Ps < 1.5 NOx: Pnox <1.5 Ptot< 3 b) AOX< 0.25 kg/t c) CO <sub>2</sub> < 1100-1000 kg/t(CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel and electricity)	a) COD: Pcod < 1.5 S : Ps < 1.5 NOx: Pnox < 1.5 P : Pp < 1.5 Ptot< 4 b) AOX< 0.25 kg/t c) CO <sub>2</sub> < 300-1000 kg/t(CO <sub>2</sub> just from fuel)	n.a.	<ul> <li>a) COD: No more than</li> <li>95% of legislation limits</li> <li>for water residuals.</li> <li>b) AOX: bleaching with</li> <li>chlorant compounds are</li> <li>banned.</li> </ul>	
2. Energy use	a) electricity: Pe < 1.5 b) fuel : Pf < 1.5	a) electricity: Pe <1.75 c) Ptot= (Pel+Pfuel)/2<1.5	n.a.	n.a.	
3. Fibres	10% from certified forests	20% from certified forests or 75% recycled	80-100% recycled (80% for printing paper)	90% recycled fibres	
4. Hazardous chemical substances	<ul> <li>a) chlorine: no bleaching gas;</li> <li>b) APEOs: banned</li> <li>c) Residual monomers &lt; 100ppm</li> <li>d) Surfactans in de-inking formulation:biodegrad.</li> <li>e) Biocides : no bio- accumulative</li> <li>f) Azo-dyes: no aromatic amines in 2002/61/CE</li> <li>g) Dyes: no environmental risk phrases</li> <li>h) Pigments : no Pb,Cu, Ni, Cr,Al</li> <li>i) Ionic impurities: limits</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) chlorine: no bleaching gas</li> <li>b) APEOs: banned</li> <li>c) Residual monomers &lt; 100ppm</li> <li>d) Surfactans in de-inking formulation:biodegrad</li> <li>e) Biocides: no bio- accumulative</li> <li>f) Azo-dyes: no aromatic amines in 2002/61/CE</li> <li>g) Dyes: no environmental risk phrases</li> <li>EDTA : to supervise</li> </ul>	a) Chlorine: banned b) APEOs: banned e) Biocides: banned for Annex II EC 2032/2003 f) Azo-dyes: banned aromatic amines in 2002/61/CE g)Dyes: no risk phrases for human safety h) Pigments: no Pb,Cu, Ni, Cr,Al Others: Formaldehyde < 0.5 mg/dm2 PCP < 0.15 mg/kg Glyoxal: NO Bleaching optics: NO EDTA: NO COV): to supervise	a) Bleaching optics: banned b) EDTA: banned c) APEOs: banned d) Heavy metals: banned Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, Ni, Zn.	
5.Waste management	Yes	Yes	n.a.	n.a.	
6.Fitness for use	Yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
7.User information	Yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Note: the *emissions to air and water* and the *energy consumption* are expressed in terms of "points" ("P") by the specific calculation method indicated in the criteria of the Commission Decision 2002/741/EC.



# 3. EU normative analysis: regulatory improvements for the paper sector

The first version of the criteria for the award of Ecolabel for Copying and Graphic paper was issued on July 19<sup>th</sup>, 1999, with the COMMISSION DECISION 1999/554/EC.

These criteria have undergone a first review (DECISION OF COMMISSION 2002/741/EC) valid until August 31, 2007, by which the product group, limited in the first version of the criteria only to copying paper, was amended and expanded to include also the graphic paper. With the Decision 2007/457/EC of 21<sup>st</sup> June 2007 the European Commission has extended the effective date of the previous criteria of 18 months, bringing the expiring date to February 28<sup>th</sup>, 2009.

From a first analysis the main EU legislation referred to the paper sector has not significantly changed in these years, so a readjustment of the criteria to assure the consistency with the legislation should not be based on the law upgrading but should focus mainly on the IPPC directive (see the Technical analysis, chapter 4). Additionally the significant coming of the new Regulation on the chemical substances (REACh) has been highlighted: an examination is provided in the following chapter.

# CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES NORMATIVE UPDATE

From 2007 is in force the REACh Regulation (CE) n. 1907/2006 (Registration, Evaluation and Authorization of Chemicals). This new discipline will have an important impact from different points of view on the paper sector. The paper mills, in fact, will be allowed to use only chemicals registered for use in the paper industry in their production processes. Among the main raw materials, it has to be pointed out that the fibre (cellulose) is expressly excluded from the scope of REACh, while the mechanical pulp are excluded because these are natural substances subjected only to physical treatments.

The recycled fibre pulp, as secondary raw material, is already subjected to the rules on wastes and wastes are excluded from the scope of the REACh. It follows that the recycled fibre pulp should be excluded from the application of the REACh.

Finally, it has to be noted that the paper mills will be subjected to some fulfilments of the REACh also as producer of "articles", such as paper and cardboard. For example, the registration must be provided for those substances contained in the paper that have an intentional emission release (e.g.: the ink in carbon paper).

The following table (table 3.1) shows a general prospect of the possible REACh Regulation fulfillment for the paper industry:



Production / Material	Reach fulfilments		
Primary materials (fibres)	excluded		
Mechanical pulp	excluded		
Chemical pulp	see "chemical substances"		
Secondary materials (recycled fibre pulp)	excluded		
Chemical substances (Production)	use allowed only if registered		
Substances (final product)	need of registration if potentially		
Substances (iniai product)	dangerous for health and environment		

Table 3.1 - REACh fulfilments for the paper industry

Some REACh outcomes should be however taken into consideration. For example, it must be specified that, where "chemical products" or "substances" are referred to in the criteria, this include substances and preparations. The reference for the definitions of 'substances' and 'preparations' shall be indicated in the REACh Regulation (Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006).

Furthermore, where a material safety data sheet (MSDS) is required to demonstrate compliance with the requirements, it should be indicated that this has to meet the requirements of Annex II of REACH (Regulation EC No. 1907/2006).

Anyway, the legislation references cited in the current criteria for copying and graphic paper, i.e. the *Council Directive 67/548/EEC*<sup>14</sup> and the *Directive 1999/45/EC*<sup>15</sup> have not yet been substituted or amended by the REACh Regulation, so the references to the abovementioned directives are still valid.

Any modification or new requirement related to the Regulation 1907/2006 will be however supervised and considered for the revision process of the criteria.

# **TEST METHODS REFERENCES UPDATE**

Table 3.2 sums up the current test methods adopted in Decision 2002/741/EC. They refer to the parameters for emissions to air and water (criterion 1) and hazardous chemical substances (criterion 4).

The specific Assessment and Verification requirements are reported below:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Directive 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Directive 1999/45/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 May 1999 concerning the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations,



# Criterion 1. Emissions to air and water - Assessment and Verification (GUCE L 237/9)

Parameters	Assessment and Verification references
COD, S, NO <sub>x</sub>	"The applicant shall provide detailed calculations showing compliance with this criterion, together with related supporting documentation which shall include test reports using the following test methods: COD: ISO 6060; NOx: ISO 11564; S(oxid.): EPA no.8; S(red.): EPA no 16A; S content in oil: ISO 8754:1995; S content in coal: ISO 351."
ΑΟΧ	"The applicant shall provide test reports using the following test method: AOX ISO 9562 (1989) [] AOX shall only be measured in processes where chlorine compounds are used for the bleaching of the pulp []"

### Criterion 4. Hazardous chemical substances - Assessment and verification (GUCE L 237/12)

Parameters	Assessment and Verification references
Surfactants	"The applicant shall provide a declaration of compliance with this criterion together with the relevant material safety data sheets or test reports for each surfactant which shall indicate the test method, threshold and conclusion stated, using one of the following test methods and pass levels: for ready biodegradability OECD 301 A-F (or equivalent ISO standards), with a percentage degradation within 28 days of at least 70 % for 301 A and E, and of at least 60 % for 301 B, C, D and F; for ultimate biodegradability OECD 302 A-C (or equivalent ISO standards), with a percentage degradation (including adsorption) within 28 days of at least 70 % for 302 A and B, and of at least 60 % for 302 C."
Biocides	"The applicant shall provide a declaration of compliance with this criterion together with the relevant material safety data sheet or test report which shall indicate the test method, threshold and conclusion stated, using the following test methods: OECD 107, 117 or 305 A-E."



The following table contains the update of test methods for criteria proposal.

Criterion Parameter COD		Current Test Method	Updated Test Method proposal (CEN/ISO)					
			2002/741/EC	Code	Norm	year		
		COD	<ul> <li>ISO 6060</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ISO 6060</li> </ul>	Water quality: Determination of the chemical oxygen demand	1989		
		NOx	<ul> <li>ISO 11564</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ISO 11564</li> </ul>	Stationary source emissions: Determination of the mass concentration of nitrogen oxides; Naphthylethylenediamine photometric method.	1998		
1	Emissions to air and water	S	<ul> <li>EPA n.8</li> <li>EPA n.16A</li> <li>ISO 8754</li> <li>ISO 351</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>EPA n.8</li> <li>EPA n.16A</li> <li>ISO 8754</li> <li>ISO 351</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Determination of sulphuric acid and sulphur dioxide emissions from stationary sources.</li> <li>Determination of the reduced sulphur emissions from stationary sources (Impinger Technique).</li> <li>Petroleum products: Determination of sulphur content; Energy dispersive X- ray fluorescence spectrometry.</li> <li>Solid mineral fuels; Determination of total sulphur - High temperature combustion method</li> </ul>	2003 1996		
		ΑΟΧ	<ul> <li>ISO 9562</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ISO 9562</li> </ul>	Water quality: Determination of absorbable organically bound halogens (AOX).	1998		
4	Hazardous chemical	Surfactants	<ul> <li>OCSE 301 A-F</li> <li>OCSE 302 A-C</li> </ul>	<ul><li>OCSE 301 A-F</li><li>OCSE 302 A-C</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Ready biodegradability of organic chemicals;</li> <li>Inherent biodegradability of organic chemicals</li> </ul>			
	substances	Biocides	<ul> <li>OCSE 107,117 or 305 A-E</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>OCSE 107,117 or 305 A-E</li> </ul>				

Table 3.2 - The	updated set	of test methods	s for criteri	a proposal.
-----------------	-------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------

# 4. Technical analysis of existing criteria

Engineering

This Chapter focuses on Pulp and Paper production processes to highlight how the existing criteria have been developed and to open the discussion about their revision.

In particular the section summarizes the analysis of the main technical reference existing for the management of environmental aspects related to the European pulp and paper industries.

# MAIN ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS LINKED TO THE PAPER PRODUCTION

The paper industry requires natural and chemical raw materials use: cellulose, water and additives (e.g. for the graphic paper, the production process needs adhesive agents as resins, etc...).

Production processes need energy for paper dehydration, paper drying and fibres processing. The different processes cause emissions to air and water, mainly  $SO_X$ ,  $NO_X$ , AOX and organic compounds.

The residual de-inking, the sludge depuration and the residuals chemical agents are the main production waste to manage.

No significant technical changes occurred in the production process since the last criteria revision, as also CEPI<sup>16</sup> and ASSOCARTA<sup>17</sup> consulted documentation has demonstrated.

The following table (Table 4.1) shows the main environmental aspects involved in the pulp and paper manufacture. The main impact sources are specified.

Environr	nental aspects	Sources
	Raw Materials	Natural and chemical substances use
Energy / Resources consumption	Energy	Production process
	Water	Production process
Emissions	Air	Production process and energy use
	Water	Production process
Waste	e production	Production process

**Table 4.1 -** Environmental aspects of paper and pulp production(Source: Italian guidelines for the BAT for paper industry, 2004)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> CEPI, 2006 and website www.cepi.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> ASSOCARTA, 2007 and website www.assocarta.it





# THE CURRENT ECOLABEL CRITERIA

The current scheme of the criteria for copying and graphic paper is structured in 8 main criteria dealing with the following life cycle phases: raw materials, production process and use phase (Figure 4.1).



Figure 4.1 – Structure of the current Ecolabel criteria.

# THE IPPC DIRECTIVE

The purpose of the IPPC (Directive 96/61/CE) is to achieve integrated prevention and control of pollution arising from the activities listed in its Annex I. The IPPC establishes a common set of rules for the release of the permits to industrial installations in Europe with the aim to promote the integrated pollution prevention and control.

Industrial plants for the production of:

(a) pulp from timber or other fibrous materials;

(b) paper and board with a production capacity exceeding 20 tonnes per day,

are included, as specified at the point 6.1 of the Annex I of the Directive.

Therefore, the European paper and pulp producers are subjected to the IPPC directive rules and, in particular, they have to refer to the BREF, the Reference Document on Best Available



Engineering Studio Ingegreni Associa

Techniques (BAT), in order to reduce the environmental impacts associated to their productive processes.

# BEST AVAILABLE TECHNIQUES (BAT) ANALYSIS

The term "best available techniques" is defined in Article 2(11) of the Directive as "the most effective and advanced stage in the development of activities and their methods of operation which indicate the practical suitability of particular techniques for providing in principle the basis for emission limit values designed to prevent and, where that is not practicable, generally to reduce emissions and the impact on the environment as a whole."

The BREF document sets which range of emissions levels is expected from the use of best available techniques.

The most recent Reference Document on Best Available Techniques in the Pulp and Paper Industry is dated 2001 and it is the same used in the development of the former criteria for this product group<sup>18</sup>.

The analysis highlights a close relationship between the resources/energy consumption and emission values reported in the BAT document and the Ecolabel criteria, as shown in the following chapter.

### Emissions to air and water

The following tables (Table 4.2 and 4.3) refer to the emissions levels associated to the pulp and paper production process.

Table 4.2 shows the range of values for air and water emissions established by the BAT compared with the reference values imposed by the current Ecolabel criteria, expressed in Kg/ADT<sup>19</sup>.

When a correspondence between the BAT and the Ecolabel values exists, the Ecolabel always respects the range established by the Best Available Techniques.

The table takes into consideration also the BAT limits for the Phosphorus (P) emission to water, also if in the current Ecolabel criteria it is not still considered.

The phosphorus is an indicator of the potential eutrophication for the water ecosystems. The environmental relevance of the phosphorus could be considered for the criteria revision, as already done for the "Tissue paper" Ecolabel Criteria Revision under development. In accordance with the limits imposed for the other above-mentioned parameters, the reference values for the phosphorus should be included in the BAT ranges shown in the table below.

Since the reference document has not changed from the last revision of the Ecolabel Criteria for Copying and Graphic paper, the process and the existing limits still respect the BAT ranges. About this issue, it could be taken into account also the technical analysis results (treated in previous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Note: the BREF and BAT document revision has been undertaken in early 2007 and it will soon be coming to an end.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> "ADT": Air Dried Tonnes





paragraph) about the technological developments occurred to assess the possibility of either a further lowering of the reference values or leaving them unchanged.

Moreover new emission reference values for copying and graphic paper could also take in account the final drafts of the Ecolabel Criteria for other Paper Products (Printed Paper and Tissue Paper), still in Interservice Consultation, trying to harmonize, for istance, the emission data for copying and graphic paper to those reported for the "Substrate" in the Printed Paper products draft.

EMISSIONS		Water		Air				<b>Water</b> (New Proposal)	
	(kg/ADT)		CC	DD	S		NO <sub>x</sub>		Р
		BAT	Criteria	BAT	Criteria	BAT	Criteria	BAT	
	CHEMICAL Bleached 8,0 -23	0.6	1 0-1 5	16	0,01-0,03				
PULP	(kraft-sulphate)	Unbleached	5,0 - 10	10,0	0,2 0,1	0,0	1,0 1,0	1,0	0,01-0,02
	CHEMICAL (sulphite)	Bleached and unbleached	20 - 30	25,0	0,5-1,0	0,6	1,0-2,0	1,6	0,02-0,05
	MECHANICAL (CTMP)	n.a.	10,0 - 20	15,0	n.d.	0,2	n.d.	0,3	0,005-0,01
	RECYCLED FIBRES	n.a.	2,0 - 4,0	2,0	n.d.	0,2	n.d.	0,3	0,005-0,01
<b>R</b> <sup>20</sup>	NOT INTEGRATED	Uncoated fine paper	0,5 - 2	1.0	n.d.	0.3	n.d.	0.8	0.003- 0.01
APEF	PAPER MILLS	Coated fine paper	0,5 - 1,5	.,.		0,0		0,0	-,,
	OTHER PAP	ER MILLS	n.d.	1,0	n.d.	0,3	n.d.	0,7	n.d.

Table 4.2 Air and water emissions levels related to pulp and paper production (Source: BREF, 2001)

Table 4.3 shows the AOX emission levels: in the current criteria, the hurdle values for AOX just refer to pulp production while, in the BREF document, the AOX emissions levels refers also to the paper production.

In the existing criteria the hurdle is 0,25 Kg/ADT for each pulp used, while in the BAT the value depends on the kind of pulp (sulphate bleached or recycled).



Engineering.

	EMISSION	NS (kg/ADT)	AOX Kg	/ADT	
			BAT	Criteria	
ГЪ	CHEMICAL (sulphate	< 0,25	0.25		
ΡU	RECYCLED FIBRES		< 0,005	-,	
21	NOT INTEGRATED F	PAPER MILLS	< 0,005		
PER		from mechanical pulp	< 0,001	n.d.	
PA	PAPER MILLS	from recycled fibres	< 0,005		

### Table 4.3 - AOX emission levels related to pulp production (Source: BREF, 2001)

# **Energy Use**

About the energy consumption, the BAT set range limits for fuel and electricity use. Table 4.4 refers to the **pulp** production and Table 4.5 to the **paper** production.

As Table 4.4 shows, the Ecolabel criteria reference values always comply with the ranges imposed by the BAT. Furthermore, the recycled fibres reference value for the electricity use (yellow box) is lower than the BAT minimum hurdle.

Referring to the fuel limits for the chemical pulp, in the BAT there are different ranges for sulphate and sulphite grades, while in the current criteria there is just a medium value for both of them (4000 kWh/ADT).

ENERGY USE			FUEL (kWh/ADT)			ELECTRICITY (kWh/ADT)		
			В	٩T	Criteria	В	۹T	Criteria
£	CHEMICAL	sulphate	2.770	3.878	4.000	700	800	800
		sulphite	4.432	4.986		700	800	
PUL	MECHANICAL	n.a.	277	1.662	900	1.900	2.600	2500
	RECYCLED FIBRES	n.a.	1.108	1.801	1.800	1.000	1.500	800

Table 1 4 Energy	concumption	lovale for	الماريم	nroduction /	(Sourcos)	DDEE	2001)
Table 4.4 - Energy	consumption	levels for	puip	production	Source.	окег,	2001)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> For the definitions see page 13.



Engineering State Transfer Assocs

About the paper production, the BAT limits concern both the integrated and not integrated paper mills, while the current Ecolabel criteria impose reference values to not integrated paper mills only, as the Table 4.5 highlights.

Therefore, for the calculation of their energy consumption, the integrated paper mills have to refer to both "pulp" and "not integrated paper mills" BAT values (Table 4.4 and Table 4.5).

The current Ecolabel reference values are in accordance with the BAT ranges and for the not integrated paper mills the fuel use values are even lower than the BAT minimum hurdles, as the Table 4.5 shows (yellow box).

ENERGY USE		FUEL kWh/ADT			ELECTRICITY kWh/ADT				
		BAT		Criteria	BAT		Criteria		
	S	CHEMICAL sulphate	bleached	3878	5.540	n.a	1.200	1.500	n.a.
	s mill		unbleached	3.878	4.848	n.a	1.000	1.300	n.a.
	PAPEF	CHEMICAL sulphite	bleached	4.986	6.648	n.a	1.200	1.500	n.a.
PAPER TEGRATED	ATED	MECHANICAL pulp	coated	831	3.324	n.a	1.700	2.600	n.a.
	ITEGR		printing	277	1.662	n.a	1.700	2.600	n.a.
	<u> </u>	RECYCLED FIBRES		1.108	1.801	n.a	1.000	1.500	n.a.
NOT	NOTI	NTEGRATED	uncoated	1.939	2.078	1.800	600	700	600
	PAPER MILLS		coated	1.939	2.216	1.800	700	900	800

Table 4 5_ Energy	consumption levels	for naner	production (	Source	RRFF	2001)
Table 4.5 Linergy	consumption levels	ioi papei	production	(Oource.	, טוע	2001)

### Notes on the technical analysis

The argumentation made in the previous paragraph demonstrates that, at the moment, it seems necessary to consider also the technical analysis results on the current technological developments in order to evaluate the necessity of changing the reference values for the "emission to air and water" and for the "energy use" in the new Criteria for Copying and Graphic Paper. The reference document on which the current criteria are based on, in fact, has not been changed since the last criteria revision process.

On the other hand it has to be considered that an updated version of the BREF for the Pulp and Paper Industry will be soon available, so if this new document is published before the end of the current criteria review, a new update of the abovementioned values will be necessary.





It has to be highlighted also that, as shown in the analysis, the Ecolabel reference values represent a "simplification" of those reported in the BAT: for this, in some cases, some modification could be made to the existing criteria, and the introduction of a limit to the Phosphorus emissions to water (not considered for now) could also be included.

# **SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT**

Hereafter are reported some hotspots available in the UN/ECE Forest Products Annual Market Review, 2005-2006:

- Certified forest area increased by 12% from 2005, reaching 270 million hectares by mid-2006, which is **7%** of the global forest area.
- Certification remains largely confined to the northern hemisphere's temperate and boreal forests, and to developed countries: 87% of certified forest is in the UN/ECE region (58% in North America and 29% in western Europe).
- Roundwood production from certified forests represents approximately 25% of global production but only a tiny amount of this is labelled as being of certified origin.
- Only 2.7% of the commercially accessible forests in Russia were certified by mid-2006, making Russia's vast forests the prize for certification schemes: the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified 9 million hectares in 2005, while a Russian certification scheme may apply for endorsement by the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC).
- Chain-of-custody certificates increased by approximately 20%, reaching 7,200 certificates worldwide, which still covers only a fraction of overall trade.
- In Asia, markets for certified forest products (CFPs) are rising in Japan, but China is producing CFPs mainly for export to North America and Europe.
- Public procurement policies for wood and paper products are increasingly specifying CFPs for assurance of sustainable forest management.
- Except in the Netherlands, there is a lack of demand from final consumers for CFPs.
- Procurement policies accounted for the origin of forest products, as well as the EU Action Plan for Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade, may increase demand for CFPs.
- By May 2006, Canada accounted for over half of PEFC and almost one quarter of FSC worldwide certifications: the PEFC umbrella now covers more than two thirds of the total certified forest area worldwide, with FSC accounting for another 28%.
- Certification of non-wood forest products is gaining importance in developing countries as well as in the developed world.



Engineering

Table 4.6 shows the worldwide certified areas by scheme and region. For this analysis the "FSC" (Forest Stewardship Council), the "PEFC" (Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification) and "Other schemes" (that refer to specific regional schemes ,as reported in the note below the Table) have been considered. In Europe, the certified forest areas cover 87 million hectares, representing 30% of the worldwide total certified forests (294, 9 million hectares).

		-				-		
(million hectares)	North America	South &Central America	Europe	Asia	Oceania	Africa	Russia	Total
FSC	27,3	9,6	29,6	1,6	1,3	2,5	12,3	84,2
PEFC	128, 3	2,3	57,4		5,7	-	-	193,7
Other*	11,0	-		4,8	-	1,2	-	17,0
Total	166,6	11,9	87,0	6,4	7,0	3,7	12,3	294,9

Table 4.6 - Certified forest area by scheme and region (Source: www.forestrycertification.info, 2006)

\* Other in North America refers to American Tree Farm System, in Asia refers to the Malaysian Timber Certification Council, in Africa refers to areas in Gabon recognised under the Dutch Keurhout system.

The following table (Table 4.7) shows a picture of the situation of the Certified forest areas in the EU 25 updated to February 2007. It can be noticed that in the EU, the 46,6% on the average of forests are certified.

Country	Forest area <sup>22</sup> (ha)	Certified area (ha) <sup>23</sup>	% of forest certified
Austria	3.862.000	3.378.966	87,5
Belgium	667.000	258.425	38,7
Bulgaria	3.625.000	21.609	0,6
Czech Republic	2.648.000	1.987.765	75,1
Denmark	500.000	27.975	5,6
Estonia	2.284.000	1.063.913	46,6
Finland	22.577.834	22.577.834	100,0
France	15.554.000	4.272.065	27,5
Germany	11.076.000	7.768.111	70,1
Greece	3.752.000	31.526	0,8
Hungary	1.976.000	193.166	9,8
Ireland	669.000	438.360	65,5
Italy	9.979.000	657.180	6,6
Latvia	2.941.000	97.335	3,3
Lithuania	2.099.000	1.108.281	52,8
Luxembourg	87.000	21.630	24,9
Netherlands	365.000	140.324	38,4
Poland	9.192.000	6.579.417	71,6
Portugal	3.783.000	123.624	3,3
Romania	6.370.000	1.124.412	17,7
Slovakia	1.929.000	539.273	28,0
Slovenia	1.264.000	270.840	21,4
Spain	17.915.000	697.887	3,9
Sweden	27.528.000	17.387.744	63,2
United Kingdom	2.845.000	1.692.709	59,5
TOTAL	155.487.834	72.460.371	46,6

Table 4.7 - Certified Forest areas	in EU (	ΑΡΑΤ ε	elaboration,	2007)
------------------------------------	---------	--------	--------------	-------

Considering, instead, the 30 EU 27 and EFTA<sup>24</sup> countries, the percentage of the Certified Forest areas grows up to 50%.

Figure 4.2 shows the Chain of custody certificates issued in December 2006 in main European and extra European countries. The schemes considered in the Figure are PEFC, FSC and MTCC (Malaysian Timber Certification Council, the Asian scheme).

Germany, France and United Kingdom reached more than 1000 certificates in the considered period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Global Forest Resources Assessement 2005 - F.A.O. http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/fra2005/en/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> FSC database and PEFC database (update 22/02/2007)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> European Free Trade Association





Engineering



Figure 4.2 - Chain of custody certificates issued in 2006<sup>25</sup>.

# **CARBON FOOTPRINT FOR THE PAPER MANUFACTURE**

The possibility to add, as additional information, the indication of the  $CO_2$  emissions per functional unit (the so-called carbon footprint<sup>26</sup>), is recommended in order to make the consumer informed and to stimulate green procurements.

It has to be specified that this hypothetical information will be, by now, not a mandatory requirement. The reasons that can force the inclusion of such a criterion can be summarized in the following considerations.

The demand for factual-based and quality-assured environmental information has increased during recent years. This is especially important in the currently heated debate about climate change, where a number of reports have been issued recently conveying quite different messages about the magnitude of our emissions of green-house gases and their future consequences for mankind. Managing to reduce emissions of  $CO_2$  has become a highly prioritised and strategic issue for many organisations wanting to be regarded as front-runners in combating climate change. This trend has lead to the introduction of a large number of ideas for new attempts of methods for reducing and compensation for  $CO_2$ -emissions, i.e. climate labelling of food and of "climate-neutral" products and services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> www.forestrycertification.info

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> In this context, carbon footprint is the overall amount of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  and other greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (e.g. methane, laughing gas, etc.) associated with a product, along its supply-chain and sometimes including the use phase and the end-of-life recovery and disposal. In other words, hence, a carbon footprint is a life cycle assessment with the analysis limited to emissions that have an effect on climate change.





The EU Ecolabel is moving on this path, introducing the carbon footprint during the next criteria development processes. In fact, a project to provide the European Commission with a suitable tool for the carbon footprint measurement has started<sup>27</sup>.

# COMMENTS AND PROPOSALS ON EXISTING CRITERIA OVERVIEW COMING FROM THE STAKEHOLDERS

The following modification proposals of the current criteria for the copying and graphic paper product group are the feedbacks coming from the preliminary questionnaires collected among the stakeholders between February 20<sup>th</sup> and March 21<sup>st</sup> 2008<sup>28</sup>.

It has to be pointed out that no modification or censorship has been applied to the suggestions described below, in order to maintain a neutral position against all the stakeholders and to give everybody the possibility to evaluate the general orientation on the various critical points.

Reporting these comments doesn't mean, at this stage, that Apat specifically supports any of the following suggestions.

# **Definition of the product group (Commission decision, Article 2)**

The product group is defined as follows:

"Sheets or reels of unprinted paper which are used for printing or copying or writing or drawing. Newsprint, thermally sensitive paper and carbonless paper are not included in the product group".

Although some comments suggest widening these criteria to newsprint and all paper grades, it doesn't seem necessary to take into account this new requirement proposal. In the Ecolabel system, in fact, there are already different criteria (or criteria "under development"), for specific paper grades (i.e.: *copying and graphic paper*, *printed-paper* and *tissue paper*) in order to manage their different environmental aspects.

The extension to the **monoglazed** paper grade has been requested, as well as a clarification on the possible inclusion of the **photographic paper** in the product group definition.

# Criterion 1. – Emission to air and water

The criterion can be divided into three sections concerning the parameters that have to be managed for the paper and pulp production. The producers have to assess their emissions expressed in term of points by a specific calculation method and they have to refer to a specific table containing the reference values for the emissions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> For more information please, visit the web site www.msr.se

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Comments to Questionnaires, 2008



### Section (a): COD, S, NO<sub>x</sub>

For each of these parameters, the emissions to air and water from the pulp and the paper production are expressed in terms of points ( $P_{COD}$ ,  $P_{S}$ ,  $P_{NOx}$ ) as detailed in the section.

Some comments highlight that the current calculation method is quite complicated and they ask for a **simplified method**.

Some paper producers have highlighted a problem about the  $NO_x$  and S calculation. In the assessment and verification of the criterion in fact they pointed out that "the calculation of the points for COD, S and  $NO_x$  [...] shall include all emissions of S and  $NO_x$  which occur during the production of pulp and paper, including steam generated outside the production site, **except those emissions related to the production of electricity**".

The manufacturers, however, are rarely able to distinguish the emission values for S and  $NO_x$  when they apply the cogeneration system. The result could be an overestimation of the values that often can exclude them from the range of acceptable values for the Ecolabel accreditation. In these cases, the opportunity of using a calculation formula that provides a simplified allocation for the split of the contribution due to the generation of steam and to the production of electricity should be given to the applicant.

A possible approach to this issue could be the one proposed in the Final Draft Criteria for the Tissue Paper, now in interservice consultation (pag. 7), but this technical aspect will be discussed further, during the second part of the revision project (WP2).

A proposal made by some producers is to exclude the mills that use Natural gas as fuel from the calculation of the  $P_s$  score. The combustion of Methane, in fact, does not produce any sulphur emission. In such a case, the value of  $P_s$  parameter could be zero.

It seems necessary to include also the **phosphorus (P)** to the list of the current parameters for the water emissions, with different values for **P total** and **P inorganic** (phosphorus comes both from the production process and the water biologic treatment).

### Section (b): AOX

The AOX current limit is 0,25 Kg/ADT for each pulp.

The applicant provides test reports using the following test method: AOX ISO 9562.

A revision and update of the reference norms for the assessment and verification is required: **more general test methods** to facilitate the applicant.

Considering that someone suggests to set **lower limits** for AOX emissions and in order to narrow the gap with the other Ecolabel paper products criteria, for the AOX limits the same values chosen for the Tissue Paper (currently on interservice consultation) could be considered:

"The weighted average value of AOX released from the productions of the pulps used in the ecolabelled tissue product must not exceed 0.12 kg/ADT paper. AOX emissions from each individual pulp used in the paper must not exceed 0.25 kg/ADT pulp".





### Section (c): CO2

The current values for CO2 emissions are:

- 1000 Kg/t for integrated paper mills
- 1100 Kg/t for not integrated paper mills.

In order to better comply with the emission values for the printed-paper, it was suggested to lower the gap between the emission levels for copying and graphic paper and printed-paper products.

The final draft criteria for printed-paper<sup>29</sup> establishes the following hurdles for  $CO_2$  emissions: 1150 kg/t for integrated and 1250 kg for not integrated paper mills.

Someone suggest even to make lower the current CO<sub>2</sub> hurdles, because they are easy to reach.

Criterion	Theme	Existing requirements	New requirements proposal	Motivation
		P <sub>COD</sub> <1,5	To simplify the calculation method	To facilitate the applicant
	COD, S, NO <sub>x</sub>	P <sub>s</sub> <1,5 P <sub>NOX</sub> <1,5	To put at 0 the Ps parameter value if the plant uses natural gas as fuel.	To avoid the providing of a useless data.
Emission to air		F 101 ~3	To include the parameter phosphorus (P)	To supervise water pollution
and water	ΑΟΧ	0,25 kg/ADT for each pulp	More general test methods; 0,12 kg/ADT?	To facilitate the applicant
	CO2	1000 kg/t for integrated paper mills and 1100 kg/t for not integrated paper mills	To reduce the gap of values between this group and printed paper	Compliance with the limits for printed paper
			To lower the hurdles	Current limits are easy to reach

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The final draft Ecoalbel criteria for printed-paper is dated October 2005. The suitability of these criteria are currently being discussed within the Commission services.



# Criterion 2. – Energy use

The current criterion can be divided in two sections concerning the electricity and the fuel consumption related to the pulp and paper production.

The producers have to assess their energy use **expressed in term of points** (P) by a specific calculation method and they have to refer to the table with a list of reference values.

### Section (a): Electricity

Some comments highlight that the current calculation method is quite complicated and ask for a **simplified method**.

The limits seem already rather strict and, therefore, no changes seem necessary.

### Section (b): Fuel

As for the electricity, no changes in the fuel consumption limits seem necessary.

The calculation method is quite complicated. A **simplification** has to be considered.

It was suggested to lower the hurdles for both the electricity and fuel use, because the current limits are too easy to reach.

Criterion	Theme	Existing requirements	New requirements proposal	Motivation
	Electricity	Pe < 15	To simplify the calculation method	To facilitate the applicant
Energy use	Licotrony	10 < 1,0	To lower the hurdles	Current limits are too easy to reach
			To simplify the calculation method	To facilitate the applicant
	Fuel (heat)	PT <1,5	To lower the hurdles	Current limits are too easy to reach

Table 4.7	-	Review	table	for	criterion	2



### **Criterion 3. – Fibres - Certified Forest Management**

Engineering.

In the current criteria, at least 10 % of virgin wood fibres from forests shall come from forests that are certified as being managed so as to implement the principles and measures aimed at ensuring sustainable forest management.

For those virgin wood fibers from forests that are not certified as being from sustainably managed forests, the applicant shall provide the appropriate declarations, charter, code of conduct or statement, verifying that the above requirements are met.

Due to some comments, the percentage of the certified wood could be increased to 30-50%.

It was also suggested that the figures could be based on a certified "chain of custody" for a better traceability chain of the wood.

About the uncertified wood, it seems necessary to make a clarification about the current declaration requested and also to prohibit the use of wood from controversial sources (as done for the "wooden furniture"). The system of "chain of custody" could also act as a proof that requirements for non-certified wood are met.

Criterion	Theme	Existing requirements	New requirements proposal	Motivation
Fibres- Forest	Wood fibres from certified forests	10% of virgin wood from certified forest	To rise the hurdle to: 30-50%	To widen the percentage of raw materials certified
Management	Fibres from uncertified forest	A declaration is requested	More clarifications about the declaration to be provided and to introduce a certified system to manage the requirements for uncertified wood	To standardize the requirements

### Table 4.8 - Review table for criterion 3

### **Criterion 4. – Hazardous chemical substances**

The criterion states that:

The applicant shall supply a list of the chemical products used in the pulp and paper production, together with appropriate documentation (such as MSDSs). This list shall include the quantity, function and suppliers of all process chemicals used.





The criterion has nine sections:

### Section (a) Chlorine

The clhorine gas used as bleaching agent is banned.

### Section (b) APEOs

APEOs can't be added to cleaning chemicals, de-inking chemicals, foam inhibitors, dispersants or coatings.

### Section (c) Residual monomers

The quantity of residual monomers can't exceed 100 ppm; for acrylamide the maximum value is 1000 ppm.

Section (d) Surfactans in de-inking formulations for return fibres

100g/ADT is the hurdle for biodegradable surfactants.

### Section (e) Biocides

The use of biocides with bio-accumulative components is prohibited.

### Section (f) Azo-dyes

Azo-dyes cannot be used. For the specific list of aromatic amines see the Commission Decision 2002/741/CE.

### Section (g) Dye stuffs

Commercial dye formulation with specific risk phrases don't have to be used (please see the Commission Decision 2002/741/CE).

### Section (h) Metal complex dye stuffs or pigments

Dyes or pigments (that are based on lead, copper, chromium, nickel or aluminium) can't be used.

Section (i) Ionic impurities in dye stuffs

For the specific limits please see the Commission Decision 2002/741/CE.

It was suggested to specify what is meant for "**process chemicals**", in order to make clear which chemicals substances have to be included in the list (i.e.: all cleaning agents?).

A revision of the *assessment and verification* is required. In particular, it is suggested **to delete the request of declarations of compliance** with the requirements.

Also the necessity to revise all the requirements on chemicals to comply with the more recent normative (e.g: REACh, etc...) has emerged.





### **Criterion 5. – Waste management**

The criterion states that:

"The waste management system shall be documented or explained in the application and include information on at least the following points:

- procedures for separating and using recyclable materials from the waste stream,

- procedures for recovering materials for other uses, such as incineration for raising process steam or heating, or agricultural use,

- procedures for handling hazardous waste (as defined by the relevant regulatory authorities of the pulp and paper production sites in question).

It was suggested to facilitate the applicant **providing ISO 14001 or EMAS** certification instead of the current declaration of compliance with the criterion.

In order to simplify the Ecolabel system it was also suggested to delete this criterion because not so relevant.

Criterion	Theme	Existing requirements	New requirements proposal	Motivation
Wests		To provide a	To provide any declaration	To facilitate the applicant
Waste management	Waste management	declaration with the description of the waste management	In place of current declaration, to provide ISO 14001 /EMAS certification as proof of compliance with the criterion	To facilitate the applicant and the assessors

### Table 4.9 - Review table for criterion 5.

### **Criterion 6. – Fitness for use**

The criterion states that the product shall be fit for use and "the applicant shall provide appropriate documentation and/or test results"

It was suggested to modify this criterion because it has **no specific relevance for the paper products**.

PAGE 58 OF 62





However, from other comments it doesn't seem necessary to modify the criterion, because of its relevance.

# **Criterion 7. – Information on the packaging**

The criterion states that the following text must appear on the Ecolabel product:

- This product qualifies for the Flower because it meets requirements that, amongst others, limit emissions to water (COD, AO<sub>X</sub>), to air (S, NO<sub>X</sub>, CO2), and limits the use of energy, fossil fuels and hazardous substances."
- "For more information on the Flower, please visit the web-site: http://europa.eu.int/ecolabel"
- "Please collect used paper for recycling".
- In addition, the manufacturer may also provide a statement indicating the minimum percentage of recycled fibres.

Some comments received are in favour of a simplification of the communication message on the product packaging: they suggest to put just the Ecolabel logo and license number on the packaging without the current additional text.

Anyway the logo and the general rules for its creation are defined by the Ecolabel Regulation 1980/2000 – Annex 3 and they can't be changed just in single product groups Criteria.

Criterion	Theme	Existing requirements	New requirements proposal	Motivation			
Information on the packaging	Information on the packaging	To put the logo, license number and Ecolabel phrases on the packaging	To put jut Logo and license number without additional text on packaging	Not to confuse the consumers			

Table 4.10 -	Review	table fo	r criterion	7
	1.0011011	1001010		•



# Criterion 8. - Information appearing on the eco-label

The criterion establishes that:

Box 2 of the eco-label shall contain the following text:

- "low air and water pollution
- low energy use
- harmful substances restricted".

As for the criterion 7, a simplification of the communication on the packaging is advocated, because, as suggested by some stakeholders, the consumers could be confused by too many written information.

# **NEW CRITERIA PROPOSAL**

The new criteria proposal concerns the inclusion of **Phosphorus (P)** as further parameter to measure emissions to water, with the consequent modification of the scoring point system used for the Criterion 1.

A new criterion could be introduced concerning the **use of nano-particles in the paper production**. New requirements about the raw materials are also suggested: in particular, the possible inclusion of **GMO trees as raw material**.

Also the inclusion of a mandatory hurdle limit on the minimum presence of **recycled fiber** in the copying and graphic paper could be considered. As reported in chapter 2 (Figure 2.12) the recovered paper use in the "copying and graphic paper" medium European manufacture is on the 10%. The other environmental ecological labels for the same product group already impose some limits, as shown in the following list:

- a. Nordic Swan: 75% recycled paper;
- b. Blaue Engel: 80% recycled paper for printing paper;
- c. DGQA: 90% recycled fibres;
- d. Ecolabel "Tissue paper" = 70% recycled fibres (*Final draft proposal*).

A similar approach should be undertaken by the Ecolabel Criteria, which for now do not consider this issue.

# 5. Appendix

# CONTACTS

BENJAMIN CASPAR DG ENV/G2/EU ecolabel European Commission, DG Environment BE-1160 Brussels

> Tel: +32-2 298 4728 Mobile: +32-485 914361 Fax: +32-2 295 5684

Email: <u>Benjamin.caspar@ec.europa.eu</u> Ecolabel Website: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/ecolabel</u>

### APAT

**GIANLUCA CESAREI** 

APAT - Ecolabel Sector - Service for Environmental Certifications 48, Via Vitaliano Brancati 00144 Rome - Italy

Ph. +39 06 5007 2857 Fax +39 06 5007 2078 Email: <u>gianluca.cesarei@apat.it</u> Website: <u>www.apat.gov.it/certificazioni/site/it-IT/Ecolabel/</u>

LCE				
Marco Montani or Gian Luca Baldo 60, Via Livorno- edificio A2 10144 Torino- Italy				
Ph. +39 0112257311 Fax +39 0112257319 Email: <u>Montani@studiolce.it</u> Website : <u>www.studiolce.it</u>	For specific technical questions please write to: ecolabel.copyingpaper@studiolce.it			



ASSOCARTA, 2007 - "Industria cartaria nel 2006".

Engineering.

BLUE ANGEL (2007), German labelling at http://www.blauer-engel.de.

BREF, 2001- Reference Document on Best Available Techniques in the Pulp and Paper Industry.

CEPI, 2006 - "Statistics 2006- European pulp and paper industry".

COMMENTS TO QUESTIONNAIRES (2008) – Answers to questionnaires on the modification of the existing criteria, LCE, February – March 2008.

COMMISSION DECISION 2002/741/EC of 4 September 2002 establishing revised ecological criteria for the award of the Community eco-label to copying and graphic paper and amending Decision 1999/554/EC.

DOGC 3651- DGQA Catalonia labelling, 06 June 2002, at http://www.mediambient.gencat.cat/

ECOLABEL CATALOGUE, 2008 at http://www.eco-label.com

EUROPEAN COMMISSION ENTERPRISE – Forest based Industries at http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise.html

EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2007– Final draft for the development of Eco-label criteria for printedpaper products; October 2005

EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2007– Final draft for the development of Eco-label criteria for tissue paper products; October 2006

D.Lgs 372/99 - Italian guidelines for the Best AvailableTecniques for paper industry, 2004.

IPPC, 1996 - Directive 61/96/CE: Integrated Prevention Pollution Control.

NORDIC ECOLABELLING, 2006 - Swan labelling at http://www.svanen.nu

UN/ECE, 2006 (a) -Timber Forest Product Statistics at http://www.unece.org/trade/timber/mis/fp-stats.htm

UN/ECE, 2006 (b) - FOREST PRODUCTS ANNUAL MARKET REVIEW 2005-2006; Geneva Timber and Forest Study Paper 21 - UNITED NATIONS New York and Geneva.