



Measuring to Manage: the compactness of *Posidonia oceanica* banquettes as an indicator for sustainable coastal strategies

J. GIAMPAOLETTI¹, A. ARANI², E. CASOLI², A. CONFORTI³, M. CONTI¹, S. DASTOLI¹, S. SIMEONE³, L. SINAPI¹, L. NICOLETTI¹

¹Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA), Via Vitaliano Brancati 60, Rome, Italy


²Department of Environmental Biology, Sapienza University of Rome, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, Rome, Italy

³National Research Council of Italy, Institute of Anthropic Impacts and Sustainability in the Marine Environment (CNR-IAS), Oristano, Italy

INTRODUCTION

The Interreg AMMIRARE Project aims to improve beach system resilience and enhance adaptive capacity to climate change through the adoption of nature-based solutions (NBS), recognizing *Posidonia oceanica* as key natural assets for coastal protection. *Posidonia oceanica* plays a crucial role both within underwater ecosystems and along shorelines, where the accumulation of detached leaves and rhizomes forms distinctive structures known as “banquettes”. These deposits significantly contribute to shoreline stabilization and mitigating erosion processes, by trapping and retaining sandy sediments, and sustaining a wide number of organisms, enhancing the biodiversity at the land-sea interface. Despite their ecological value, Italy currently lacks clear legislation to protect and manage banquettes, which are frequently removed to preserve the aesthetic appeal of recreational beaches.

Here, we report on the temporal evolution of a banquette located in northern Civitavecchia (Rome, Italy), monitored on a monthly basis through penetrometer measurements. These results, integrated by grain size and surface/volumetric analyses, will allow us to estimate the degree of compactness of the banquette, necessary information for establishing clear guidelines for their management.



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field Activity

A light dynamic penetrometer was used for the measures of penetration resistance in different areas of the banquette, both in front and rear side of the deposit. The penetrometer was calibrated with an 8 kg weight and a falling height of 10 cm; the values of the penetration resistance was measured every 10 cm counting the number of fall of the weight. Value of resistance (MPa) was calculated on the base of the L’Herminier formula for dynamic penetrometer tests. Core samples were collected along the banquette (sampler 20x20x20 cm, volume 0.008 m³) to analyse the content of trapped sediment.



Lab Activity


Sediments were manually separated from the *Posidonia* leaves, and the sandy fraction was retained. These sediments were weighed and then dried in an oven at 60 °C for 48 h. Once dry, they were weighed again to determine water content, and the different grain size fractions were separated by sieves. A portion of the samples was used to measure organic matter content (muffle furnace for 4 h at 450 °C).





RESULTS

Penetrometer Analysis

Rear side



Front side




These graphs shows the energy required to penetrate the banquette. As can be observed from both the graphs and the photo, these deposits are composed of layers of varying thickness and composition and, consequently, exhibit varying degrees of compactness.

These cumulative graphs consider all penetrometer measurements taken along the banquette, distinguishing between the front and rear sides – which show a significant difference in sediment content – but not between areas. Difference in the required energy to penetrate the banquette in its front or rear side is visible on the x-axis of the graphs: whilst the energy in the front side varies between 1 and 2 MPa, in the rear side this value is higher (up to 8 MPa).

Sediment Analysis

The average value of dry sediment content in the uppermost 20 cm was 405 grams in 0.008 m³ of banquette (approximately 51 kg in 1 m³).



Fine (0.125-0.25 mm) and medium (0.25-0.5 mm) sand represented the most abundant granulometric fractions in all the sampling dates and in both sides of the banquette. The two-way PERMANOVA test revealed significant differences in the amount sediments between the front and rear sides.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study presents the preliminary results of an ongoing investigation on the morphodynamic analysis of a *P. oceanica* banquette. What is clearly evident even at this initial stage is the high variability of these deposits; even when sampling was conducted on a monthly basis, the structure of the deposit changed from one sample to another. The penetration energy shown in the graphs reflect the extreme variable nature of these layers, increasing or decreasing as their composition changes. The increase in penetration resistance, observed in the rear side, is attributed to the transition from the banquette deposits to the sandy substrate. The high sediment content found in the cores highlights the ecological role these deposits play, mitigating the coastal erosion process and acting as a NBS contributing to shoreline stabilization. Moreover, our result considers only the uppermost layer of the banquette, and this probably underestimates the true weight of the whole retained sediments. The calibration and validation of penetrometer measurements will help to establish a simple method for assessing the compactness of the banquette, which will provide a valuable tool for the management and preservation of *P. oceanica* banquettes.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Astudillo-Gutierrez et al. (2025). *Posidonia oceanica* banquette accumulations in southern Catalonia: management approaches and key parameters for coastal protection. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 12, 1681826.
- De Falco et al. (2008). Management of Beach-Cast *Posidonia oceanica* Seagrass on the Island of Sardinia (Italy, Western Mediterranean). *J. Coast. Res.* 24(sp3), 69-75.
- L’Herminier (1953). Le calcul de la force portante de pieux. *Compte-rendu du 3ème Congrès International de Mécanique des Sols et des Travaux de Fondations (ICSMFE)*, Zurich(2), 58-61.
- Simeone et al. (2022). Sediment budget implications from *Posidonia oceanica* banquette removal in a starved beach system. *Water* 14, 2411.
- Simeone et al. (2012). Morphology and composition of beach-cast *Posidonia oceanica* litter on beaches with different exposures, *Geomorphology* 151–152, 224–233.